

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/825,083	KOOTALE, KRISHNADAS C.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Tom Gyorfi	2135

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Appeal Brief filed

(1) Tom Gyorfi.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Brian Hicks.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 June 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*see Appeal Brief*

Claims discussed:

1-34

Prior art documents discussed:

*U.S. Patent 6,442,554*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's representative of intention to use above reference in new rejections based on 35 USC 103. Applicant confirmed that above reference had a common assignee with the instant application and thus could not be used. All remaining objections thus removed, Examiner agreed to allow case..